

Date: _____

Dear Mr/Mrs/Ms _ _ _ _ _

and **ALL** other relevant **staff**, tutorial or medical, please note:

I am the mother/father of: _____

Having sought expert advice, both medical & legal, I have established that my children, named above, are at unacceptable risk of suffering a life threatening adverse event following the Covid-19 vaccination.

As a result of this, I demand you do not allow anyone to vaccinate my children, **at any time.**

The JCVI advice was **not** to roll-out the 'vaccine' to 12-15yr olds, which the 4 chief medical advisors to the government utterly contradicted, citing the economy, 'social implications', & that old red herring 'the greater good', upon which to base their advice to government to proceed with a vaccine generalised for all children.

We are dealing with a synthetic, genetically modified, experimental injection that has NOT BEEN INDIVIDUALISED FOR OUR PARTICULAR CHILDREN, therefore I will not risk ENDANGERING their health or even their lives, in the interests of society at large, or to permit you to do so.

You should be aware that there is an injunction application currently under way in the courts:

<https://www.lawoffiction.com/2021/09/injunction-application-made-to-court-to-pause-roll-out/>.

With the help of international scientific medical knowledge & advice, we have investigated the various risk factors that pertain to this injection, including excipient allergens and other components.

This detailed assessment was made considering health and medication history, and diet, as well as a predisposition to health issues within our family.

As the mother/father of my children, I am ultimately responsible for their safety and well-being and do not delegate to you in this regard, as you cannot possibly be expected to have the time to explore on this level of detail for each and every individual child in your care.

Based on the detailed findings of this risk assessment, I expressly forbid this vaccination to be given to my children and will hold you personally responsible, both civilly and criminally, for any harm that arises in either the short term (anaphylactic shock), medium term or long term, including myocarditis, pericarditis, blood clotting abnormalities, and neurological injury such as transverse myelitis, Bell's palsy, damage to fertility, ovarian damage and any impact on puberty & physical maturation etc.

Information about the uneven distribution of this novel medicine within the bodies of children has not been sufficiently considered in the decision to extend uptake to their age group, as per Pfizer's own pharmacokinetics data; the risk of puberty blocking & the high rates of heart inflammation in young people, especially boys, as reflected in the MHRA yellow card system, all of which we consider negligent, and so to proceed is failing YOUR DUTY OF CARE TO OUR CHILDREN. The concept of using 'Gillick competency' which was established for fully reversible contraception, has been superseded by the 2020 case **Bell v. Tavistock NHS Trust**, which ruled that children DO NOT HAVE CAPACITY to decide about any experimental medical treatment that may have a permanent life altering impact.

<https://www.judiciary.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/Bell-v-Tavistock-Clinic-and-ors-Summary.pdf>

Because we are dealing with an experimental, synthetic, genetically-modified spike protein, graphene-oxide & luciferase-loaded set of injections, most of which will not complete trials until 2023 (according to pharmaceutical websites), the general public is blissfully unaware of all of this & government is failing to inform them, which in itself is a criminal act!

An individual's rights cannot be set aside for the benefit of society, and the welfare of the child is PARAMOUNT; yet this seems to be the intention here. Children seldom experience severe illness if they catch this infection; injecting them with a medicine that has to date killed over 1600 and caused in excess of a million injuries in the UK alone for minimal benefit to them personally is indefensible.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-vaccine-adverse-reactions>

Where there are conflicting human rights the welfare of the child is paramount and enshrined in law.

Our children are not deemed mature enough to purchase alcohol or cigarettes, yet certain elements, and possibly yourselves, expect them to decide about a medical treatment that could irreversibly damage their health.

I will be taking civil action against you personally if you fail to protect my children from this medical procedure which has now been clearly identified as dangerous, and not **only** to my children.

..... signed Mother / Father of

.....

.....

.....